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## 1. Introduction



Matrix and fracture structure in fractured porous media


Matrix-fracture flow transfer
> The matrix-fracture flow transfer is one of the most important characteristics of flow in fractured porous media
> When the fluid pressure on matrix is different from that on the fracture, the fluid will transfer from matrix to fracture, or vice versa
> The phenomenon is usually described using a transfer function

## 1. Introduction

## Transfer function in dual-porosity model



Warren and Root model (1963)

## Transfer function:

$$
q_{m f}=\sigma \frac{k_{m}}{\mu}\left(\overline{p_{m}}-\overline{p_{f}}\right)
$$

Where: $p_{m}=$ average matrix pressure;
$\bar{p}_{f}=$ average fracture pressure;
$\sigma=$ shape factor $\left(1 / L^{2}\right)$.

| References | $\sigma L^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Warren-Root (1963) | 60 |
| Kazemi et al. (1976) | 12 |
| Coats (1989) | 49.58 |
| Zimmerman et al. (1993) | 29.61 |
| Lim and Aziz (1995) | 29.61 |
| Sarda et al.(2001) | 48 |
| Hassanzadeh and Pooladi- |  |
| Darvish (2006) | 25.56 |
| Hora and Wattenbarger (2009) | 25.67 |
| Hessanzadeh et al. (2009) | 25.67 |
| Peyman et al. (2020) | 15.6 |
| Note: L=characteristic length of the matrix |  |

- It is ambiguous for engineers to apply these findings in practice
- It's need to propose a generalized Matrix-fracture transfer function with consistent parameters ${ }_{4}$


## 1. Introduction

## * Content of the study



Variable flow transfer direction in fractured porous media with complex geometry
> Study of matrix-fracture flow transfer by experiment and simulation
> Matrix-fracture flow transfer model
$>$ Investigate the influence of the fracture occurrence and fracture-matrix permeability ratio on matrix-fracture flow transfer

## 2. Experiments of matrix-fracture transfer

## Test equipment


(c) Distribution of pressure sensors $\left(p_{m i} ; p_{f i}\right)$ and flowmeter $\left(Q_{m i} ; Q_{f i}\right)$
(b) Piezometric holes and FPM model

(a) Fracture model


Inlet/outlet of fracture
(d) Inlet/outlet of FPM model

(e) FPM model after sealed

Schematic diagram for matrix-fracture flow transfer in FPM
$>$ FPM model consists of 9 cubic matrix blocks and 4 mutually orthogonal fractures
$>$ Parameters: $e=3 \mathrm{~mm} ; e=5 \mathrm{~mm} ; e=7 \mathrm{~mm}\left(V_{\mathrm{m}}=100 \times 100 \times 100 \mathrm{~mm}^{3} ; k_{\mathrm{m}}=1.47 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}\right)$

## 2. Experiments of matrix-fracture transfer

## Experimental results and discussion

Pressure distribution of matrix and fracture


Pressure distribution of FPM


Two-way matrix-fracture flow transfer


- More than $90 \%$ of the pressure drop is concentrated in the first half of the flow distance
- Matrix-fracture flow transfer mainly occurs in the first half of the flow distance
$>$ Distribution of outlet flow rate


The matrix pressure gradient and flow rate


The matrix-fracture pressure difference and transfer

The matrix-fracture flow transfer rate accounts for $43 \% \sim 63 \%$ of the matrix inlet flow rate

- The normalized matrix-fracture flow transfer rate increases with fracture aperture, matrix-fracture pressure difference


## 2. Experiments of matrix-fracture transfer

* Matrix-fracture transfer rate
> Transfer function with shape factor


Fracture aperture $e=3 \mathrm{~mm}$


Transfer function: $\quad q_{m f}=\sigma \frac{k_{m}}{\mu}\left(\overline{p_{m}}-\overline{p_{f}}\right)$

- The shape factor increases with increasing fracture aperture (2.58~3.70).
- The prediction of the Kazemi model is better than that of Warren-Root model.
- The predictions gradually becomes worse as $\bar{p}_{m}-\bar{p}_{f}$ increases


## 3. Generalized flow transfer model

* A generalized matrix-fracture flow transfer model
> Ideal matrix-fracture flow transfer


Schematic of ideal matrix-fracture flow transfer
Assumptions:

- Transfer flow occurs at the matrix/fracture interface
- Flow transfer is at steady state
- The matrix-fracture transfer flow is governed by Darcy's law
- The flow in the entire block are assumed to be one-way


## 3. Generalized flow transfer model

## * A generalized matrix-fracture flow transfer model

> Matrix-fracture flow transfer with regularly distributed fractures


Fractured porous media

matrix-fracture flow transfer with heterogeneous fluid pressure

Ideal flow transfer: $\quad q_{m f}=\frac{A_{f}}{V_{m} L_{f}} \frac{k_{m}}{\mu}\left(\overline{p_{m}}-\overline{p_{f}}\right)$
heterogeneous fluid pressure fracture pressure correction coefficient $\alpha$

$$
q_{m f}=\frac{A_{f}}{V_{m} L_{f}} \frac{k_{m}}{\mu}\left(\overline{p_{m}}-\alpha \overline{p_{f}}\right)
$$

Where: $\overline{p_{m}}-\alpha \overline{p_{f}}=$ effective pressure difference between matrix and fracture;

## 3. Generalized flow transfer model

## * A generalized matrix-fracture flow transfer model

> Matrix-fracture flow transfer with irregularly distributed fractures


Schematic of matrix-fracture flow transfer with irregularly distributed fractures
Ideal transfer flow: $q_{m f}=\frac{A_{f}}{V_{m} L_{f}} \frac{k_{m}}{\mu}\left(\overline{p_{m}}-\overline{p_{f}}\right) \xrightarrow{\text { heterogeneous pressure }} q_{m f}=\frac{A_{f}}{V_{m} L_{f}} \frac{k_{m}}{\mu}\left(\overline{p_{m}}-\alpha \overline{p_{f}}\right)$

$$
\text { Natural rock } \sqrt{\| \frac{A_{f}}{V_{m} L_{f}} \rightarrow \frac{1}{l_{c}^{2}}}
$$

Generalized flow transfer model: $\quad q_{m f}=\frac{1}{l_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}} \frac{k_{m}}{\mu}\left(\overline{p_{m}}-\alpha \overline{p_{f}}\right)$
Where: $I_{\mathrm{c}}=$ Characteristic trace length of fractures;
$\overline{p_{m}}-\alpha \overline{p_{f}}=$ Effective pressure difference between matrix and fracture;

## 3. Generalized flow transfer model

## Verification of generalized matrix-fracture flow transfer model

> Generalized matrix-fracture flow transfer model

$$
q_{m f}=\frac{1}{l_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}} \frac{k_{m}}{\mu}\left(\overline{p_{m}}-\alpha \overline{p_{f}}\right)
$$



- The flow transfer rate has a linear relationship with the effective matrix-fracture pressure difference, but it cannot reflect the influence of the fracture aperture


## 4. Effect of influencing factors

## * Simulation method

> The parameter values of fracture network (Hitchmough et al., 2007)

| parameter | Trace length/m | Dip angle/ $/$ © | Dip direction/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | Density/(pieces/m³) | aperture/mm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean | 4 | $3,89,85$ | $90,265,351$ | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Variance | 0.1 | $1,8,10$ | $5,29,14$ | - | - |
| Distribution | Normal | Normal | Normal | - | - |

> Geometrical model and boundary conditions


Geometrical model $(10 \times 10 \times 10 \mathrm{~m})$


Boundary conditions
> Simulation cases performed in this study

| NO. | Trace <br> length/m | Density/ <br> (pieces/m-3) | $K_{\mathrm{f}} / k_{\mathrm{m}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 4 | 0.1 | 10000 |
| 2 | 3.5 | 0.1 | 10000 |
| 3 | 4.5 | 0.1 | 10000 |
| 4 | 4 | 0.05 | 10000 |
| 5 | 4 | 0.15 | 10000 |
| 6 | 4 | 0.1 | 5000 |
| 7 | 4 | 0.1 | 20000 |

## 4. Effect of influencing factors

## Simulation results and discussion

> Distribution of matrix and fracture


The heterogeneous fluid pressure due to the difference of permeability between matrix and fracture:

- High pressure: area away the fracture
- Medium pressure: the matrix area near the fracture
- Low pressure: area near the fracture
- Peaks and troughs appear in the matrix pressure curve along the flow direction due to the complexity of fracture geometry
- Inconsistent directions of matrix-fracture flow transfer because of the heterogeneous fluid pressure around the matrix
Pressure distribution of matrix and fracture


## 4. Effect of influencing factors

## * Discussion of simulation results

> Effect of influencing factors


Relations between matrix-fracture pressure difference and matrixfracture flow transfer with different fracture trace lengths


Relations between matrix-fracture pressure difference and matrixfracture flow transfer with different fracture density


Relations between matrix-fracture pressure difference and matrixfracture flow transfer with different fracture/matrix permeability ratio

- The flow transfer rate presented a nonlinear increasing trend as the matrixfracture pressure difference increased。
- The fracture aperture, trace length, and density have a positive effect on the flow transfer, and the FMPR has a negative effect on the flow transfer.


## 4. Effect of influencing factors

## * Discussion of simulation results

$>$ Verification of the flow transfer model





Influence of fracture trace length


Influence of fracture density


Influence of fracture/matrix permeability ratio

## 5. Concluding remarks

- Experiments of matrix-fracture flow transfer in fractured porous media were performed under different aperture and pressure difference between matrix and fracture. The experiments show that there is a strong nonlinear relationship between the matrixfracture flow transfer term and flow rates.
- A generalized matrix-fracture flow transfer model for fractured porous media considering the influence of the stochastic fracture distribution on fluid flow was proposed. The matrix-fracture flow transfer term depends on the effective pressure difference between matrix and fracture.
- The influence of fracture trace length, density, and fracture/matrix permeability ratio on the matrix-fracture flow transfer term were investigated by simulation. The matrix-fracture flow transfer term increases with the increase of fracture trace length, density, and fracture/matrix permeability ratio, while the fracture pressure correction coefficient is opposite.



## Thank you！

请各位专家批评指正

